## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JUDITH VAN W	II X	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 13-01061-JSW
v. RASH CURTIS & ASSOCIATES		STIPULATION AND [TROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	el report that they have met and con ulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the following A	DR process:
√ (Note: Parties appreciably note the ADR phone of the A	nore likely to meet their needs than conference and may not file this forn	ADR L.R. 5)  Int conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an in. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
	Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-	8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	te Process: Private ADR (please identify proce	ess and provider)
The parties ag ✓	gree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dea referring the case to an ADR proceed) other requested deadline	dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 6/24/2013		/s/ G. Thomas Martin III Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 6/24/2013		/s/ Andrew Steinheimer
		Attorney for Defendant

## [TROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 24, 2013

ATED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE